Case 1:07-cv-10128-AKH	Document 1	Filed 11/02/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	<u>K</u>		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
WILLIAM CONNELL AND BARBARA CON	NELL	DOCKET NO.	
Pla - against -	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHE COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	E
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Def	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			_
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an ' $\checkmark$ ' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.			
Plaintiffs WILLIAM CONNELL	I. AND BARBA	RA CONNELL by his	/her/their attorneys

Plaintiffs, WILLIAM CONNELL AND BARBARA CONNELL, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

### I. PARTIES

### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. and a citizen		· ·	ne "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual 4S, New York, NY 10028-000.
	<u> </u>	(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, $\square$	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as o	f the Estate of
	Please	read this document careful	lly.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Case 1:07-cv-10128-AKH Docume	nt 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 2 of 11
York residing at 72 East End Avenue, Apt.# 4S, Ne relationship to the Injured Plaintiff:  SPOUSE at all relevant times WILLIAM CONNELL, and	s herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to husband (his wife), Plaintiff WILLIAM CONNELL.
4. In the period from 9/12/2001 to 10/1 Edison of New York, Inc. as a Lead Mechanic A at:	2/2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated
Please be as specific as possible when	filling in the following dates and locations
The World Trade Center Site Location(s) ( <i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)  From on or about 9/12/2001 until 10/12/2001; Approximately 12 hours per day; for	☐ The Barge  From on or about;  Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
Approximately 30 days total.	✓ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
☐ The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh Kills Landfill  From on or about until;  Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	From on or about 9/12/2001 until 10/12/2001; Approximately 12 hours per day; for Approximately 30 days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite: South Street Seaport Sub Station
*	paper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify arate sheet of paper with the information.
5. Injured Plaintiff	
above;	I noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	d or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
✓ Other: Not yet determined	<del></del>

6.

<ul> <li>U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>         Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.     </li> <li>         Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.     </li> <li>         Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to §</li> </ul>	Injured	l Plaintiff
<ul> <li>405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any</li> </ul>	V	§405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
<ul> <li>by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.</li> <li>□ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any</li> </ul>		405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any		, , ,
		405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	INC. ☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Denying petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LIND, INC. ☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
=======================================	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREE-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	$\square$ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
	I .

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

### 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-10128-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

☑ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ☑; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has			
remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	2. § 1441.
	III CAUSE	S OF	ACTION
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

## Case 1:07-cv-10128-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

<b>V</b>	Cancer Injury: Benign Tumor Date of onset: 3/13/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	<b>Y</b>	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 3/13/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
<b>V</b>	Digestive Injury: Throat Problems  Date of onset: 3/13/2007  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	V	Other Injury: <u>Sleeping Problems</u> Date of onset: <u>3/13/2007</u> Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later date</u>

*NOTE:* The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

- ✓ Loss of the enjoyment of life
- Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity
- ✓ Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits
- Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
- ✓ Other:
  - ✓ Mental anguish
  - ☑ Disability
  - ✓ Medical monitoring
  - ✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York October 30, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), William Connell and Barbara Connell

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action. That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
October 30, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docke	t No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	WILLIAM CONNELL (AND WIFE, BARBARA CONNELL),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	□ NOTICE OF ENTRY  that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	That an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.  Dated,  Yours, etc.,
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP